UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,877	05/30/2001	Jesse Ambrose	OIC0157C1US	4487	
	7590 09/03/200 TEPHENSON LLP	9	EXAMINER		
	RY OAKS TERRACE		QUELER, ADAM M		
BLDG. H, SUI AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonvious Summons	09/866,877	AMBROSE ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ADAM M. QUELER	2178				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ADAM M. QUELER</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Steve Mason</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 31 August 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	;]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>21</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendment. The Examiner stated, that while many references would be needed to reject the proposed amendment, the added limitations appeared to recite elements that were well-known in the art or were included in prior art that the Examiner had knowledge of. It appears that there would be nothing precluding their combination in an obviousness rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Adam M Queler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178						

Application No.

Applicant(s)